EXHIBIT F

NO 마음하다 이 사는 항상하지 않아 성을 함께 되었습니다.

OPPOSITION NO. 91167353, THAT ARE IRRELEVANT TO SANEI CASE EXHIBITS IN TTAB PROCEEDING, CONSOLIDATED

Total No. of Pages of Exhibits	32	99
Exhibit(s) Attached (No. of Pages) (No. of Pages)	Declarat Ex. A: A Decemb Ex. B: J and Thir Ex. C: I 2006 re Request Ex. D: I 2006 re respond Ex. E: I 2006 gra	Ex. A: Email from M. Grieco to Vanessa dated March 21, 2006 forwarding draft protective order (14 pages) Ex. B: Email from M. Grieco to S. Anand dated March 22, 2006 re changes to protective order made by Vanessa (16 pages) Ex. C: Email from S. Anand to Vanessa dated March 23, 2006 re withdrawing motion without prejudice, confidentiality issues and discovery responses (5 pages) Ex. D: Email from S. Anand to Vanessa dated March 29, 2006 re signed stipulation, confidentiality issues and opposer's overdue/incomplete discovery responses (6 pages)
	Compel) Enter
t Filed	5	on to
Document Filed	Motion	Motion
	Applicant's Discovery	Applicant's N Protective Order
Date of Filing	3/14/2006	2/12/06

calcanter 또 항상성없게 'base 가야한 항문 등이 그리고 한 시간이다. <mark>1996년</mark> 등 2012년 등 유리고 하는 것이다. 이번 이 나는 이번 그리고 있는 그가 알픈 [Hitching] 등

Date of Filing	Document Filed	Exhibit(s) Attached (No. of Pages) Exhib	Total No. of Pages of Exhibits
		Ex. E: Form: Provisions for Protecting Confidentiality of Information Revealed During Board Proceeding (9 pages)	
9007/٤/9	Request that Opposer's Motion for	Declaration of Safia A. Anand (2 pages)	
`	irnar. .:	Ex. A: Letter from M. Grieco to J. Bostany dated June 28, 2006	٠,
	Applicant's Time to Respond be Extended	re opposer s ratinie to serve motion for Summiary Judgment (1 3 page)	n
1/29/2007	Plaintiff's Declaration in Support of	Ex. A: Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories dated February 8,	
	Motion to Compel	2006 (5 pages) Ex. B: Plaintiff's First Request for Production of Documents	****
		dated February 8, 2006 (4 pages)	
		Ex. C: Applicant's Responses and Objections to Opposer's First	
		Set of Interrogatories dated March 14, 2006 (9 pages)	
		Ex. D: Applicant's Responses and Objections to Opposer's First 27	27
		Request for the Production of Documents dated March 14, 2006	
		(6 pages)	
	-	Ex. E: TTAB Order dated June 29, 2006 granting protective	
		order (2 pages)	
		Ex. F: Letter from J. Yoo to M. Urleco dated January 10, 2007 requesting responses to discovery requests (1 page)	
2/2/2007	Defendant's Response to Motion to	Ex. A: Letter from J. Yoo to M. Grieco dated January 10, 2007	
	Compel [Declaration of Safia A.	requesting applicant's complete responses to discovery requests	
	Anand]	(2 page) 4	7
		agreeing to extending discovery deadline and opposer's overdue	-
20000	Marin- to Comman	The land of C. Annual (12 mone)	
3/13/2007	Applicant's Motion to Compel Opposer's Document Production,	Declaration of S. Anand (13 pages) Ex. A: Applicant's First Request for Production of Documents	122

Total No. of Pages of Exhibits																							
Exhibit(s) Attached (No. of Pages)	and Things to Opposer dated December 13, 2005 (10 pages) Ex. B: Applicant's First Set of Interrogatories to Opposer dated	December 13, 2005 (13 pages)	C. Ronson, Inc. First Set of Request for Documents dated March	16, 2006 (5 pages) Fr. D. GMA Accessories Inc.'s Remonses and Objections to C.	Ronson, Inc. First Set of Interrogatories dated March 16, 2006 (8	pages) Ex. E: Letter from S. Anand to J. Yoo dated February 2, 2007 re	opposer's incomplete responses to discovery (4 pages)	Ex. F. Letter from J. Yoo to S. Anand dated February 12, 2007	re contacting S. Anand to discuss their supplemental responses	to interrogatories, documents available for inspection and	requiring advance payment of copy fees (2 page) Ex. G. Letter from S. Anand to T. Voo dated Fehrmany 14, 2007	re service of applicant's discovery responses, scheduling time to	review their documents, and offering to make our documents	available (2 page)	Ex. H: Letter from D. Melissinos to S. Anand dated March 6,	2007 following up on E. Whitten's conversation regarding when	they would receive our production of 500 documents and when	they could copy same, and when we would be inspecting their	documents (2 page)	Ex. I: Letter to J. Bostany from S. Anand dated March 6, 2007	re misrepresentation of conversation between S. Anand and E.	Whitten regarding document production (3 pages)	Ex. J: Fax from D. Melissinos to S. Anand dated March 7, 2007
Date of Document Filed	Deposition Testimony, and Motion for Protective Order											-											

N. 20% - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 -	1																											
Total No. of Pages of Tything																****												
Exhibit(s) Attached (No. of Pages)		applicant's documents would not be available for copying at	opposer's expense, and wherein D. Melissinos stated that	opposer's documents would remain available for inspection	and/or copying (2 page)	Ex. K: Letter from S. Anand to J. Bostany dated March 7, 2007	re misrepresentation of conversations between S. Anand and D.	Melissinos, and S. Anand and E. Whitten (3 pages)	Ex. L: Letter from F. Charles to S. Anand dated March 9, 2007	providing a copy of a Notice of Deposition and co-existence	agreement, and advising that they would provide copies of any	documents at the same price they were charged (2 page)	Ex. M: Letter from B. Reilly to S. Anand dated March 9, 2007	confirming that S. Anand is ending the document inspection at	2:00 p.m. and that she is not accepting the copied documents he	has, but rather wishes them to be put onto a disk (2 page)	Ex. M [sic]: Applicant's Notice of Deposition of Applicant [sic]	Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) dated March 8, 2007 (5 pages)	Ex. N: Opposer's notice to take the deposition of defendant	pursuant to 30(b)(6) dated March 7, 2007 (2 page)	Ex. O: Declaration of Mary L. Grieco in Support of Applicant's	Motion to Compel Opposer's Document Production, Deposition	Testimony and Motion for Protective Order, dated March 13,	2007 (6 pages)	Ex. A to M. Grieco Declaration: Letter from J. Yoo to M. Grieco	dated January 10, 2007 advising of applicant's incomplete	discovery responses and enclosing opposer's second set of	interrogatories and request for production (2 page)
Document Filed																											4	
Date of Filing																												

Total No. of Pages of Exhibits											•							-									
Exhibit(s) Attached (No. of Pages)	Ex. B to M. Grieco Declaration: Email from M. Grieco to J. Yoo	dated January 18, 2007 agreeing to extension of discovery cut-	our and tollowing-up on opposer's responses to discovery (2) nage)	Ex. C to M. Grieco Declaration: Email from J. Bostany to M.	Grieco dated March 7, 2007 re communication breakdown with	S. Anand and agreeing to S. Anand's request to inspect	documents on March 9 (2 page)	Ex. D to M. Grieco Declaration: Email from M. Grieco to J.	Bostany dated March 8, 2007 re communication breakdown in	response to J. Bostany email of March 7, 2007 (3 pages)	Ex. E to M. Grieco Declaration: Letter from M. Grieco to J.	Bostany dated March 12, 2007 re filing motions to compel and	motion for protective order and J. Bostany's conduct during the	proceedings (4 pages)	Ex. F to M. Grieco Declaration: Letter from J. Bostany to M.	Grieco dated March 12, 2007 regarding discovery issues, S.	Anand's conduct on March 9, and requesting all further	communication be addressed to F. Charles (2 page)	Ex. G to M. Grieco Declaration: Letter from M. Grieco to J.	Bostany dated March 12, 2007 re J. Bostany conduct, requesting	documents be downloaded onto a disk and filing a motion to	compel (2 page)	Ex. H to M. Grieco Declaration: wording taken from the	www.johnbostany.com website which is operated by an	unknown individual (2 page)	Ex. I to M. Grieco Declaration: GMA Accessories, Inc. v.	Positive Impressions, Inc., NYLJ Vol. 323, No. 90 (SDNY
Date of Document Filed Filing					-																-						

Total No. of Pages of Exhibits	40
Exhibit(s) Attached (No. of Pages) 2000) (10 pages) Ex. J to M. Grieco Declaration: Playboy Enterprises Int'l v. On Line Entertainment, Inc., 2004 WL 626807 (SDNY) (9 pages)	Ex. A: Applicant's First Request for Production of Documents and Things to Opposer, dated December 13, 2005 (8 pages) Ex. B: Letter from B. Reilly to S. Anand dated March 9, 2007 confirming that S. Anand is ending the document inspection at 2:00 p.m. and that she is not accepting the copied documents he has, but rather wishes them to be put onto a disk (1 page) Ex. C: Letter from J. Bostany to M. Grieco dated March 12, 2007 regarding discovery issues, S. Anand's conduct on March 9, and requesting all further communication be addressed to F. Charles; fax transaction report re same (2 pages) Ex. D: Fax from F. Charles to M. Grieco stating that the requested documents had been produced and questioning what documents we would like (2 page) Ex. E. Letter from F. Charles to M. Grieco dated March 14, 2007 stating that the documents produced were organized and responsive, and asking that we advise them which documents we want (1 page) Ex. F: Letter from J. Yoo to S. Anand dated February 12, 2007 re contacting S. Anand to discuss their supplemental responses to interrogatories, their documents available for inspection and requiring advance payment of copy fees (1 page) Ex. G: Letter from D. Melissinos to S. Anand dated March 6, 2007 following up on W. Whitten's conversation asking when they could copy same, and when we would be inspecting
Document Filed 2	[Opposer's]Declaration in Opposition [to Motion to Compel]
Date of Filing	3/28/2007

Total No. of Pages of Exhibits		19
Exhibit(s) Attached (No. of Pages)	Var. Var. Var. Var. Var. Var. Var. Var.	Declaration of Safia A. Anand (6 pages) Ex. I to Declaration of Safia A. Anand: Plaintiff's Second Request for Production of Documents dated January 8, 2007 (3 pages)
Document Filed		Applicant's Response to Opposer's Opposition to Motion to Compel and Motion for Protective Order
Date of Filing		4/6/07

Total No. of Pages of Exhibits		297
Exhibit(s) Attached (No. of Pages) Declaration of Mary L. Grieco (4 pages) Ex. I to Declaration of Mary L. Grieco: Letter from M. Grieco to F. Charles dated March 13, 2007 in response to F. Charles' fax of March 12, 2007 re Bostany firm's lack of good faith, requesting that opposer provide the documents and information set forth in Motion to Compel and stipulates to appropriate	page) Ex. 2 to Declaration of Mary L. Grieco: Letter from M. Grieco to F. Charles dated March 14, 2007 stating that applicant will not be appearing for deposition on March 15, that applicant has filed a Motion for Protective Order and is continuing the GMA Accessories deposition until after the Motion to Compel and Motion for Protective Order are decided (2 page) Ex. 3 to Declaration of Mary L. Grieco: Letter from M. Grieco to F. Charles dated March 19, 2007 requesting that opposer provide the documents identified in the Motion to Compel, and in S. Anand's Feb. 2, 2007 letter to J. Yoo and providing verified responses to interrogatories; and requesting the 248 pages of documents applicant identified at the document inspection, or to respond to the motion to compel (2 page)	
Date of Document Filed Filing		GRAND TOTAL NO. OF PAGES OF EXHIBITS:

EXHIBIT G

1		TES DISTRICT COURT
2	OOOTHINK D	ESTRICT OF NEW TORK
3	SANEI CHARLOTTE RONSON LLC,	. Case No. 07-cv-09578-CM-DCF
4	Plaintiff,	. New York, New York
5	vs.	. Friday, March 14, 2008
6	GMA AACCESSORIES, INC.,	•
7	Defendant.	•
8		• •
9		PT OF CONFERENCE DRABLE DEBRA C. FREEMAN
10		ES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	APPEARANCES: (On the record	1)
12	75. T.	•
13		Ira S. Sacks, Esq. Safia A. Anand, Esq.
14		Mary L. Grieco, Esq. Rob Grand, Esq.
15		DREIER LLP 499 Park Avenue
16		New York, New York 10022
17		John Bostany, Esq. THE BOSTANY LAW FIRM
18		40 Wall Street New York, New York 10005
19		New Tolky New York 2000
20	,	Electronically Recorded by Court Personnel
21		Rand Reporting & Transcription, LLC
22		80 Broad Street, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10004
23		(212) 504-2919 www.randreporting.com
24		tronic sound recording, transcript
25	produced by transcription se	
1		· ·

THE COURT: Where are you looking?

MR. BOSTANY: I'm looking --

THE COURT: I'm looking at Attachment B to the March
13 letter that Mr. Sacks wrote.

MR. SACKS: If you go to Page 4 of Attachment B, Your Honor, the current position, and it's with respect to 4 through 6 and 12 and 13 --

THE COURT: Well, I'm looking at Interrogatory 3, and then I was going to go on. Should I skip 3?

MR. SACKS: No, Your Honor. But what Mr. Bostany was referring to was the response from Mr. Paltrowitz as to 4 through 6 and 12 through 13 where he said, look through the 37,000 documents without giving us Bates numbers which would be

MR. BOSTANY: Your Honor, the documents are Bates stamped. There's 35,000 sales order. They are segregated in terms of year. They all relate to Charlotte. They show every single sale, every single product. I don't know why Mr. Sacks would feel that that would not be enough for him to determine if, in fact, GMA --

THE COURT: Well, okay. Hold on. I've said this before. You are entitled to answer an interrogatory response by reference to documents. The rules say that, but you have to refer specifically enough so that the party propounding the interrogatory knows where to find the particular answer to the

```
particular question.
1
             If all of these documents are sales records, and
2
3
    that's 30,000 -- 37,000 pages of sales records.
             MR. BOSTANY: 35,000.
4
5
             THE COURT: 35,000 pages of sale records, whatever.
             MR. BOSTANY: 2,000 are advert -- there's
6
7
    advertisements. There's cease and desist letters.
                                                         They have
    all been segregated. They have all been segregated.
8
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. If there is an interrogatory --
9
    let's take Interrogatory Number 3, okay, which is the one that
10
    I was on, which is identifying people with responsibility. And
11
12
    I gather these documents are not going to answer that question.
             Now, maybe Mr. Sacks would be satisfied with skipping
13
    Interrogatory Number 3 if he gets an answer that he's
14
    comfortable with on Interrogatory 4 and so on. Is that right?
15
    Should I skip 3 and go on, or should I stick with 3 for the
16
    moment?
17
             MR. SACKS: Your Honor, if I got an answer to 4
18
    through 6 and 12 through 13, I might -- an answer that gave me
19
    Bates numbers of documents for each product, which is what we
20
21
    asked for.
             THE COURT: All right. So let's --
22
             MR. SACKS:
                         I might not need 3.
23
                        Let's go -- let's put 3 on hold. Let's go
             THE COURT:
24
    to Number 4.
25
```

EXHIBIT H

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
SANEI CHARLOTTE RONSON LLC	
Plaintiff,	Chail Andre No. 000010500 (CLA)
- against -	Civil Action No.: 07CV9578 (UA)
GMA ACCESSORIES, INC.	
Defendant.	

GMA ACCESSORIES, INC.'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

Pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Fed.R.Civ.P."), Defendant, GMA Accessories, Inc. ("GMA"), hereby incorporates its objections in its Response to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories, dated January 11, 2008, and supplements its responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories by reference to interrogatory responses already exchanged with Dreier LLP as they were attorneys that asked and got answers to many of the same questions in GMA v. Ronson opposition proceeding identified in the protective order of this case. GMA further supplements as follows:

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES

<u>Interrogatory 1:</u> See response to Interrogatories dated March 16, 2006 already exchanged with Dreier in TTAB proceeding referred to in the protective order in this case, GMA v. Ronson.

<u>Interrogatory 4:</u> Identify each product that has been, is being, or will be sold or offered for sale in connection with GMA's Charlotte Marks.

<u>Supplemental Response to Interrogatories 4</u>: The products are identified in GMA's Trademark Applications and Registrations publicly available.

Interrogatory 5: State separately for each product identified in response to Interrogatory No. 4:

- (a) the generic name of the product;
- (b) the date the product was first sold in intrastate and interstate commerce;
- (c) whether any product was discontinued, each product that was discontinued, and the reason(s) for such discontinuance;
- (d) the date of first use in commerce of GMA's Charlotte Marks in connection with such product;
- (e) the identity of each person who participated in each first use;
- (f) the trade channels by which each product travels or will travel from GMA to the ultimate end user of such product;
- (g) the classes of purchasers (e.g., retailers, general public, age group adults, children infants);
- (h) the classes of end users;
- each type of retail and/or other business establishments in the United States that sell or will sell such product;
- (j) the names and addresses of the five largest business establishments in the United States, which are accessible to the public, where such product is currently on sale;
- (k) the annual dollar and unit volume of sales in the United States for each such product from GMA's first use of GMA's Charlotte Marks to the present; and
- (l) the anticipated or estimated annual dollar and unit volume of sales in the United States for each such product.

Supplemental Response to Interrogatories 5:

- (a) The generic names for the products are identified in GMA's Trademark Applications and Registrations.
- (b) The dates the products were first sold are identified in GMA's Trademark

Applications and Registrations.

- (c) No products that are listed in active registration or applications were discontinued.
- (d) The date of first use in commerce of GMA's Charlotte Marks are identified in GMA's Trademark Applications and Registrations.
- (e) George Altirs
- (f) GMA uses intermediary retailers to sell its products to the end user.
- (g) Retailers then downstream to the general public
- (h) Adults, Teens, Tweens, Children and Pets
- (i) Department Stores and Boutiques
- (j) See response to Interrogatories dated March 16, 2006 in TTAB proceeding referred to in the protective order in this case, Ronson v. GMA
- (k) GMA objects as it is the same burden on GMA to search through its sales orders to answer this question as it is for defendants to do the same with the sales orders in defendants' possession. Without waiving this objection, a spreadsheet is attached setting forth sales of all Charlotte products for the past 5 years.
- GMA objects as it is the same burden on GMA to search through its sales orders to **(1)** answer this question as it is for defendants to do the same with the sales orders in defendants' possession. Without waiving this objection, a spreadsheet is attached setting forth sales of all Charlotte products for the past 5 years.

Interrogatory 6: State the annual advertising and promotional expenditures in the United States for each product identified in response to Interrogatory 4 from GMA's first use of GMA's Marks to the present.

Supplemental Response to Interrogatory 6: See Documents with Bates Numbers 26157-26370 and 38679-38697 and attached spreadsheet.

Interrogatory 9: Identify all licensees or the parties to whom GMA has licensed or otherwise granted authority to use GMA's Charlotte Marks, or any colorable variations thereof, in the United

States, and identify the mark and the products and/or services covered by each such license or authorization.

<u>Supplemental Response to Interrogatories 9:</u> The licensees and/or other parties are identified in the Charlotte Russe (bates numbers 494-501), UNNC found in USPTO Application 77-012104, Zyloware (bates number 125) and Wright Medical Agreements (bates numbers 34843-34845) previously produced.

<u>Interrogatory 10:</u> Identify all persons or entities with which GMA has co-existence agreements, and identify the date of the agreement, person, or entity, mark and the products and/or services covered by each such agreement.

Supplemental Response to Interrogatory 10: See Supplemental Response to Interrogatory 9.

Interrogatory 11': (a) Has GMA, including any of GMA's predecessors-in-interest, ever been a party to an assignment of rights or to any agreements which in any way involve or affect GMA's ownership or title to GMA's Charlotte Marks, or use of GMA's Charlotte Marks?

- (b) If the answer to subpart (a) is other than an unqualified negative, identify:
 - i. All marks involved in each such assignment or agreement;
 - ii. All parties to each such assignment or agreement; and
 - iii. The date of each such assignment or agreement.

Supplemental Response to Interrogatory 11: See Supplemental Response to Interrogatory 9.

Interrogatory 12: Identify each trademark search, clearance or other inquiry conducted by or on behalf of GMA relating to GMA's Charlotte Marks or any other mark containing the word CHARLOTTE, by stating for each such search or other inquiry (a) the date or, if not possible, the approximate date of such search, clearance or other inquiry; (b) the name, address, title and employer of the person who conducted such trademark search, clearance or other inquiry; (c) the name, address, title and employer of the person who authorized such trademark search, clearance or other inquiry; and (d) each mark, name or other designation cited in such trademark search,

clearance or other inquiry, the owner or apparent owner thereof, and the goods, services, business or apparent goods, services or business associated or connected therewith.

Supplemental Response to Interrogatory 12: See bates numbers 191-264 and 32671-32858.

Interrogatory 13: Identify all disputes, including, but not limited to, lawsuits, oppositions, cancellation proceedings, written objections or threatened litigation, in which GMA is or has in any way been involved (other than the present proceeding) with respect to GMA's Charlotte Marks, and indicate how such dispute was eventually resolved, including whether there were any agreements between the parties or decisions by any court or tribunal.

Supplemental Response to Interrogatory 13: Cease and Desist letters are easily identifiable in hard copy documents (approx. 400 pages) delivered to Dreier LLP. Otherwise, objection this information is publicly available. Without waiving this objection the following matters are further identified:

- 1. GMA Accessories, Inc. v. The Black & Decker Corporation, TTAB Opposition No.: 91166381
- 2. GMA Accessories, Inc. v. Charlotte Brown, TTAB Cancellation No.: 92045997
- 3. GMA Accessories, Inc. v. Charlotte Tilbury Limited, TTAB Opposition No. 91171089
- 4. GMA Accessories, Inc. v. C. Ronson, Inc., TTAB Opposition Nos.: 91167353 and 91168148
- 5. GMA Accessories, Inc. v. Croscill, et. al, SDNY Civ. No. 06-CV-6236
- GMA Accessories, Inc. v. Daily Sparkle, LLC., TTAB Opposition No. 91168132
- 7, GMA Accessories, Inc. v. Ehinger-Schwarz GmbH & Co. KG, TTAB Opposition No.: 91178562
- 8. GMA Accessories, Inc. v. Eminent, Inc., et al., SDNY Civ. No. 07-CV-3219
- GMA Accessories, Inc. v. GC Trademarks LLC, TTAB Opposition No.: 91171219
- 9. GMA Accessories, Inc. v. Annick Goutal, TTAB Cancellation No.: 92046003
- 10. GMA Accessories, Inc. v. JJJ International LLC, TTAB Cancellation No.: 92046002
- 11, GMA Accessories, Inc. v. Lauren Merkin Productions, LLC, SDNY Civ. No.: 07-CV-10936

- 12. GMA Accessories, Inc. v. Quicksilver, Inc., SDNY Civ. No. 07-CV-11527
- 13. GMA Accessories, Inc. v. RLJ Basketball, LLC, TTAB Opposition No.: 91159543
- 14. GMA Accessories, Inc. v. Wright Medical Technology Inc, TTAB Opposition No. 91172575
- 15. Jimlar Corporation v. GMA Accessories, Inc., SDNY Civ. No.: 07-CV-8622

Dated: New York, New York March 20, 2008

By:

Crystal S. A. Scott

THE BOSTANY LAW FIRM

Attorneys for Defendant

40 Wall Street

New York, New York 10005

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEW YORK)
) ss.
COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

George Altirs, being duly sworn deposes and says:

- 1. I am the CEO of defendant in this action.
- 2. I have read the foregoing GMA's Responses and Supplemental To Plaintiff's Interrogatories and know the contents thereof. The same is true to my knowledge except as to the matters stated to be alleged on information and belief and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

George Altirs

Sworn to before me this 25th day of March, 2008

Notary Public

JOHN P. BOSTANY Notary Public, State of New York No. 02B05072359

Qualified in Kings County Commission Expires Jan. 27,

CONFIDENTIAL

CHARLOT	TE SALES	
Year	Sales	Ref Pago
2002 2003	\$ 1,032,851.0 \$ 1,146,272.9	540, 542, 1316, 1405, 1426, 1442, 1439, 1474, 1510, 1530, 1556, 1571, 1974, 2004, 2066, 2096, 2108, 2120, 2134, 2157, 2171, 2229, 2261, 2299, 2207, 2303, 2317, 2324, 2331, 2352, 2382, 2382, 2382, 2397, 2404, 2416, 2707, 277, 2843, 2882, 2807, 2908, 3047, 3209, 3444, 3476, 4747, 4782, 4774, 4797, 4848, 4860, 4811, 4950, 4971, 4074, 507, 5084, 5117, 5128, 5174, 8439, 8840, 6924, 8934, 8971, 6989, 7016, 7034, 7016, 7017, 7018, 7142, 7142, 7153, 7169, 722, 7720, 7290, 7312, 7323, 7301, 7389, 7408, 7430, 7447, 7478, 7408, 7514, 7551, 7551, 8723, 6748, 4007-101, 1023 - 1043, 1045 - 1055, 1062 - 1085, 1100 - 1116, 1121 - 1122, 1255 - 1284, 1508, 5086 & 5115, 1981 - 1597, 202, 2022, 2044 - 2405, 2057, 2058, 2091-2092, 2104 - 2165, 2423 - 2425, 2425
2004	\$ 2,401,737.9	535, 536, 3528, 3779, 3780, 3628, 3635, 3843, 3657, 3896, 3907, 3919, 3625, 3980, 3697, 4033, 4066, 4092, 4113, 4122, 4146, 4150, 4165, 4165, 4172, 4176, 4169, 4205, 4213, 4221, 4239, 4244, 4261, 4263, 4332, 4330, 4372, 4379, 439, 4419, 4477, 4486, 4500, 4688, 5809, 5819, 5890, 5840, 5854, 5868, 5817, 5862, 5807, 5937, 5860, 58971, 5800, 605, 6006, 6116, 5111, 6186, 6196, 6196, 6216, 6210, 6218, 6706, 670
		5573-5574, 13822-13833, 5481-5402, 13873, 5747-5760, 22237-22238, 22290-22291, 22315, 22319, 22315, 22319, 22315, 22319, 22315, 22319, 22315, 22319, 22315, 22319, 22315, 22319, 22315, 22319, 22315, 22319, 22315, 22319, 22315, 22319, 22315, 22319, 22315, 22319, 22315, 22319, 22314, 23255-22688, 22672-22673, 22770-22771, 23193-23194, 23225-2328, 23258-23259, 23430-24305, 23310-23141, 23407-23408, 23835-2057, 24161-2162, 30223-30230-30233, 30430-30431, 3565-3568, 3807, 22170, 3748-3749, 3806 8, 3813, 3845-3888, 3006 8, 8131, 3842-3843, 3871-3872, 4075-4076, 4107-4108, 41316, 4271, 4231-8423, 4251-8423
003	5 3,652,597.0	10496, 10518, 10534, 10547, 10563, 10580, 10580, 10809, 10835, 10602, 10702, 10737, 10747, 10750, 10784, 1080 10072, 10888, 10871, 10912, 14286, 14426, 14421, 14437, 14453, 14463, 14476, 14496, 14515, 14536, 14606, 1485 14701, 14783, 14916, 14923, 14086, 14970, 14993, 14999, 14971, 15985, 16008, 16120, 15144, 15155, 1514 15325, 1514, 15343, 15346, 15418, 15436, 15446, 16545, 16589, 16570, 16853, 16876, 15685, 15714, 15715, 15732, 1574 15756, 15765, 15767, 16074, 15077, 15137, 16289, 16428, 16446, 16870, 16071, 17391, 20425, 20278, 21891, 24606, 26852, 26873, 25910, 25918, 25968, 25909, 25007, 20057, 20050, 26113, 26127, 26136, 26149, 27780, 2783, 27856, 261012, 26034, 30247, 31044, 31046, 31064, 31002, 11086, 31067, 31730, 10513 & 10525, 10620, 10624, 10676-10697, 10693 & 10696, 10768
		10710-10712, 10780 & 10770, 10792 & 10800, 10870 & 10865, 10920 & 10926, 13374, 27787, 27791, 13391, 13393, 5233-5234, 5235-5237, 14567, 14911, 14855, 16407, 18408, 16973, 14763, 16160, 15165, 15167-15170, 15225, 15227, 15303-15304, 15597-15598, 15501-15502, 15983-15985, 16082-16083, 19116-19119, 16167-16171, 16168-16187, 16190-16193, 16226-16230, 16245-16246, 16255-16269, 16284-16267, 16268-1627, 16270, 16270, 16280, 16383-16307, 16382-16383, 16589-16391, 16713-16715, 17663, 16716-1677, 17658-17662, 16743-16753, 16755-16760, 18907-16908, 16908-16908-16908-16908, 16702, 17024-1702, 17136-17149, 17194-17195, 17230-17231, 14478, 17244-17245, 17272-17298, 17303-1730, 17306-17308, 17306-17308, 17307-17308, 17307-17508,
		20230 - 20237, 20265 - 20286, 20316 - 20317, 20528 - 20330, 21567 - 21569, 21577 - 21578, 21589 - 21531, 21684 21805, 24982 - 24983, 25006 - 25075, 26027 - 25029, 25030 - 25042, 25048 - 25048, 25063 - 25065, 25074 - 25076, 25085 - 25087, 23117 - 25118, 25126 - 25127, 25143 - 25144, 25148-25149, 25165 - 25156 - 25156, 25177, 25193 - 25194, 25194, 25195 - 25109, 25209 - 25211, 25217-25219, 25239 - 25239, 25240 - 25276 - 25277, 25281 - 25282, 25282 - 25292, 25276 - 25276, 25281 - 25282, 25282 - 25293, 25309 - 25313, 25309 - 25340, 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25343 - 25343 - 25343 - 25343 - 25340, 25343 - 25
06	\$ 5,369,169.0	11858, 11889, 11897, 28378, 27110, 27486, 27483, 27619, 28063, 28594, 28547, 29572, 28576, 28679, 29718, 2872-28730, 28748, 28767, 29058, 29503, 26820, 26823, 26839, 30519, 30571, 30688, 30871, 30910, 31028, 3107, 31028, 31100, 31142, 31783, 31214, 31218
		20302 - 20304, 25535 - 25537, 26418 - 28419, 26471 - 28476, 28520 - 26526, 26563 - 28571, 26603 - 28604, 26613 - 28616, 28520 - 28624, 28736 - 28748, 28331 - 26604, 26643 - 28644, 28569 - 28872, 28601 - 26602, 28518 - 28824, 28531 - 26602, 28518 - 28824, 28531 - 28604 - 28602, 28678 - 28978 - 28979, 28986 - 26997, 27008 - 27007, 27023 - 27024, 27051 - 27058, 27112 - 27113, 27140 - 27141, 27204 - 27206, 27276 - 27219, 27223 - 27227, 27240 - 27240, 27254 - 27254, 27255 - 27258, 27153 - 27258, 27354 - 27355, 27365 - 27358, 27408 - 27407, 27418 - 27418, 27434 - 27437, 27534 - 27530, 27647 - 27650, 27687 - 27660, 27687 - 27667, 27687 - 27678, 27678 - 27678, 27687 - 27687 - 27
		25414 - 26417, 26424 - 26426, 26443 - 26446, 26466 - 26471, 26466 - 26461, 26600 - 26461, 26505 - 26505, 26516 - 26517, 26324-20526, 26556 - 26559, 26546 - 26555, 26567 - 26559, 26551 - 26557, 26524-20526, 26559 - 26559, 26546 - 26559, 26551 - 26557, 26577, 265777,
		30182 - 30184, 30216 - 30217, 30506 - 30601, 30531 - 30532, 30531 - 30532, 30534 - 30535, 30548 - 30546, 30618 - 30610, 30632 - 30633, 30689 - 30670, 30689 - 30689, 30712 - 30713, 30729 - 30738, 30752 - 30787, 30794 - 30600, 30861 - 30668, 30811 - 30668, 30697 - 30699, 31090 - 31091, 31187 - 31168, 31191 - 31192, 31280 - 31281, 31289 - 31200, 31283 - 31284, 31302 - 31302 - 31303, 31306 - 31307, 31310 - 31311, 31313-31314, 31330 - 31331, 31334 - 31336, 31447 - 31353, 31367-31339, 31376 - 31371, 31376 - 31333, 31506 - 31810

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

heclule of	rent allocated to C	harlotte brand a	nd products			
YEAR	1 East 33rd st.	1 East 33rd st.	Utilitles and maintenance both floors	Total	% allocated to	Rent allocated Charlotte
1999	175,689		26,500	202,189	10%	
2000	179,910		29,000	208,910	15%	
2001	184,251		28,600	212,851	15%	
2002	188,735		27,655	216,390	15%	32,458
2003	193,347	135,000	56,000	384,347	20%	76,869.
2004	198,097	224,680	75,000	497,777	20%	
2005	167,989	231,420	75,000	474,409	20%	
2006	173,029	238,363	75,000	486,392	20%	97,278.
	 		Total	·		\$484,526.

EXHIBIT I

·						
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK					
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK					
3	SANEI CHARLOTTE RONSON LLC,	. Case No. 07-cv-09578-CM-DCF				
4	Plaintiff,	· . New York, New York				
5	vs.	Friday, March 14, 2008				
6	GMA AACCESSORIES, INC.,	•				
7	Defendant.	•				
. 8		•				
9	TRANSCRIPT OF CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE DEBRA C. FREEMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE					
10						
11	APPEARANCES: (On the record)					
. 12						
13		Ira S. Sacks, Esq. Safia A. Anand, Esq.				
14	М	Mary L. Grieco, Esq.				
15	Ď	PREIER LLP 199 Park Avenue				
16		New York, New York 10022				
17	1	John Bostany, Esq. THE BOSTANY LAW FIRM 40 Wall Street New York, New York 10005				
18	4					
19		•				
20		Electronically Recorded by Court Personnel				
21		Rand Reporting & Transcription, LLC				
22	8	80 Broad Street, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10004				
23		(212) 504-2919 www.randreporting.com				
24	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript					
25	produced by transcription service.					
	1					

25

MR. BOSTANY: Well, I think that what Mr. Paltrowitz's 1 objection was --2 THE COURT: Mr. Bostany, this makes no sense. 3 MR. BOSTANY: I think that what Mr. Paltrowitz's 4 objection was is Mr. Sacks didn't identify which product he 5 wanted the Bates stamp numbers for. He just said, give us the 6 Bates -- he just, he said each product, and Mr. Paltrowitz then 7 gave the Bates stamp numbers for all the products. Had Mr. 8 Sacks said, when did you sell hair clips? He would have gotten 9 an answer. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Your answer is that in order to 11 identify the products that have been sold, they have to look 12 through all thirty however many -- well, 35,000, 37,000 documents? 14 MR. BOSTANY: Well, I think under the rules it's clear 15 that Mr. Sacks has to identify -- if he wants the Bates stamp 16 numbers for hair clips, he has to ask that in his interrogatory, and he will get the Bates stamp numbers. THE COURT: No. Your answer is either the products 19 that have been sold have been hair clips, have been T-shirts, 20 have been jeans, have been whatever they are. Or your answer 21 is in order to see what products you have been sold, we direct you to documents we are producing Bates Number this, this, and 23 that. 24

If what you're saying is culled through 37,000 pages

to figure out what products we sell, and that this is the answer to Interrogatory 5 and 6 and 12 and 13, without my even looking at them, this is not an answer, and this is not what the rules say.

MR. BOSTANY: Right. But Mr. Paltrowitz also identified the trademark registrations which set forth each and every product that Mr. Sacks has. So he's already been informed of the products and he's already been --

THE COURT: Where has he been informed of the products? Which letter informed him of the products? Where did you do that to inform him of all the products?

MR. BOSTANY: I'm looking for it now, but it's a letter that says:

"Refer to our registrations and you will see the products that we sell."

Our registrations contain a list of the products.

THE COURT: Registrations contained don't -- register -- if what your position is, our interrogatory answer is that all of the products that we have sold, are selling, or will be sold or offered for sale is exactly coextensive with the list of products in the registrations, the registrations have been produced to you, their Bates Number is this and that, that's an answer.

MR. BOSTANY: Yes. And the -- I'm sorry, and the applications which have also been --

. 7

THE COURT: Those are exactly coextensive. Those no one more here or one less there or anything? That's exactly it?

MR. BOSTANY: That's what my client tells me. They haven't sold anything in addition to what has been identified in those documents that Mr. Sacks has.

MR. SACKS: Your Honor, that would answer 4. It wouldn't answer 5. It wouldn't answer 6.

THE COURT: All right. So if that's the answer to 4, and that's -- and by the way, you keep referring to what somebody else said. I need to know from you who are here today that that is the answer.

MR. BOSTANY: Yes. That is the answer.

THE COURT: So the answer is do you have all the registrations?

MR. SACKS: Yes. We have all the registrations.

THE COURT: All right. So you have on the record today, and this is electronically recorded so a transcript can be made if necessary. You have on the record today that the products that have been, are being -- I'm sorry, have been sold, are being sold, or will be sold or offered for sale in connection with GMA Charlotte Marks are exactly coextensive with those that are listed in the registrations and the applications.

MR. SACKS: And the applications.

MR. BOSTANY: Well, except I object to "will be sold,"

Judge. I will not preclude GMA from entering other areas that

are not in the applications that are currently being filed.

MR, SACKS: Okay. And then I --

. 2

MR. BOSTANY: I mean, they can't be precluded from going into other areas because they're answering this interrogatory based on --

THE COURT: Well, then you have to otherwise answer the interrogatory or otherwise specifically object on some basis.

MR. BOSTANY: Right. Well, I'm objecting that that is an unfair -- it's not likely to lead to discoverable evidence. Well, as of today, I think it would be fair, then. I understand that, you know, you can't -- I think the "will be sold" can be limited to as of today. I don't know. Will be sold, Judge? Is that a fair --

THE COURT: I'm only trying to figure out if you are adequately answering the question posed. If you have a specific objection to the question posed -- you know, I'm not sure what kind of meet and confer you folks have had. You don't even seem to have your arms around just what the question is.

MR. BOSTANY: Right. Well, it was objected to, and it's properly objected to based on Local Civil Rule 33(a). I mean, we are doing this in good faith. We're provide --

THE COURT: Didn't we already have a conference where I addressed Local Rule 33(a) and advised the parties? Maybe it wasn't this case. Maybe it was the other case.

MR. BOSTANY: No.

THE COURT: Advised the parties to get past Local Rule 33(a) and move on.

MR. BOSTANY: No.

MR. SACKS: And we did get past Rule 33(a) because the last response we got from Mr. Paltrowitz in the last meet and confer -- and there have been about three hours of meet and confers on this -- was, it's in the documents, just go sift through the 35,000 documents.

Your Honor, with respect to Interrogatory 4, I'm happy to take the representation on the record now, as long as, if GMA changes its mind and wants to introduce something else in the future, that Mr. Bostany seasonably updates his response, as he's required to.

THE COURT: And the relevance on your end is to what it will do in the future?

MR. SACKS: They have intent to use applications, Your Honor. They have to have a good-faith intent to use the applications in terms of will use. They have intent to use applications. We believe -- and without any issues under Rule 11, we believe that this --

THE COURT: Is it part of Sanei's claims in this case?

goods listed in the intent to use, that goes to --

1 MR. SACKS: It goes to intentional fraud, Your Honor.
2 If this -- if GMA not only committed fraud with actual use, but
3 also committed fraud with intent to use applications because it
4 didn't have a good-faith intention to use the marks on the

THE COURT: That's fine, but in terms of other potential future uses, that if they have a new idea of something they want to do, why exactly are you entitled to that?

MR. SACKS: I don't need that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So you'll take what there is in their registrations and in the applications.

And Mr. Bostany, it's your representation that as of this time, that fairly represents what the company intends to sell as well as what it currently sells?

MR. BOSTANY: Yes, Judge.

Number 5. Now, this is stating separately for each particular product a lot of information about that particular product, the generic name, when it was first sold, whether it was discontinued, when it was discontinued, why it was discontinued, you know, who was involved, what trade channels, and so on and so forth.

Apart from the Local Rule 33.3(a) objection, it's my understanding from Mr. Sacks, and Mr. Bostany correct him if he